Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,915	FLENTGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: 41	
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Michael Ward {Reg. No. 37,960}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 December 2006	Time: <u>11:29am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		\
Lam J. Stock		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

- 4

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative and left a voice mail message inquiring whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action. The Examiner also called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, January 3, 2007@11:25am and Wednesday, January 17, 2007@2:29pm. However, none of the left messages resulted in a return phone call from Applicant's representative. Therefore, the application is being abandoned on Monday, January 22, 2007.